

1. The WRIA 8 Summit Goals were: - To celebrate our successes to date - To answer questions about progress towards salmon recovery goals - To create a shared understanding of our challenges and opportunities - To formulate together ideas for moving forward - To learn, connect and be inspired Do you feel that we achieved all our goals?

	Not at all	A little	About average	Pretty well	Yes, completely	Rating Average	Response Count
Celebrate our successes to date	0.0% (0)	7.9% (3)	13.2% (5)	<b>44.7% (17)</b>	34.2% (13)	4.05	38
Answer questions about progress towards salmon recovery goals	2.6% (1)	2.6% (1)	21.1% (8)	<b>44.7% (17)</b>	28.9% (11)	3.95	38
Create a shared understanding of our challenges and opportunities	0.0% (0)	7.9% (3)	21.1% (8)	<b>50.0% (19)</b>	21.1% (8)	3.84	38
Formulate ideas for moving forward	2.6% (1)	15.8% (6)	<b>42.1% (16)</b>	26.3% (10)	13.2% (5)	3.32	38
Learn, connect and be inspired	0.0% (0)	7.7% (3)	12.8% (5)	<b>43.6% (17)</b>	35.9% (14)	4.08	39
<b>answered question</b>							<b>39</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>0</b>

2. What aspect(s) of the Summit or piece(s) of information did you find most useful? Why?

	Response Count
	32
<b>answered question</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>7</b>

3. What aspect(s) of the Summit did you find LEAST useful? Why?	
	Response Count
	19
answered question	19
skipped question	20

4. What aspect(s) of the day could use the most improvement? Why?	
	Response Count
	16
answered question	16
skipped question	23

5. What topics or elements were missing from the day?	
	Response Count
	22
answered question	22
skipped question	17

6. What changes, if any, would you make to the next Summit?							
	1	2	3	4	5	Rating Average	Response Count
Venue (1 = go somewhere else, 3 = no preference, 5 = same place)	2.9% (1)	2.9% (1)	8.6% (3)	14.3% (5)	<b>71.4% (25)</b>	4.49	35
Overall length (1 = next time make it shorter, 3 = just right, 5 = next time make it longer)	5.7% (2)	5.7% (2)	<b>60.0% (21)</b>	14.3% (5)	14.3% (5)	3.26	35
Discussion (1 = have less time for discussion, 3 = just right, 5 = have more time for discussion)	5.7% (2)	14.3% (5)	<b>31.4% (11)</b>	25.7% (9)	22.9% (8)	3.46	35
Technical content (1 = have less technical content, 3 = just right, 5 = have more technical content)	2.9% (1)	2.9% (1)	<b>50.0% (17)</b>	14.7% (5)	29.4% (10)	3.65	34
Lunch (1 = strongly suggest changes, 3 = neutral opinion, 5 = great food, wouldn't change a thing)	2.9% (1)	8.6% (3)	34.3% (12)	17.1% (6)	<b>37.1% (13)</b>	3.77	35
<b>answered question</b>							<b>35</b>
<b>skipped question</b>							<b>4</b>

7. Of all the “powerful next steps” we talked about, which one(s) did you find especially important?	
	Response Count
	19
<b>answered question</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>20</b>

**8. Are you planning to take specific actions in response to the Summit? If so, what are they?**

	Response Count
	16
<b>answered question</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>23</b>

**9. Please rate the effectiveness of each presentation or aspect of the Summit listed below.**

	Not at all effective	Somewhat effective	About average	Above average	Extremely effective	Rating Average	Response Count
State of Salmon and Watershed	3.2% (1)	12.9% (4)	22.6% (7)	<b>35.5% (11)</b>	25.8% (8)	3.68	31
Habitat Project Progress	3.3% (1)	10.0% (3)	20.0% (6)	<b>50.0% (15)</b>	16.7% (5)	3.67	30
Programmatic Progress	0.0% (0)	9.7% (3)	32.3% (10)	<b>48.4% (15)</b>	9.7% (3)	3.58	31
Funding	3.1% (1)	9.4% (3)	31.3% (10)	<b>46.9% (15)</b>	9.4% (3)	3.50	32
"Cafe Conversations"	5.9% (2)	17.6% (6)	23.5% (8)	<b>35.3% (12)</b>	17.6% (6)	3.41	34
Facilitator	0.0% (0)	15.2% (5)	24.2% (8)	<b>54.5% (18)</b>	6.1% (2)	3.52	33
Graphic Recorder	12.1% (4)	33.3% (11)	9.1% (3)	<b>39.4% (13)</b>	6.1% (2)	2.94	33
						<b>answered question</b>	<b>34</b>
						<b>skipped question</b>	<b>5</b>

10. How important or relevant do you consider these elements of the meeting to be?							
	Not important	Somewhat important	Moderately important	Very important	Extremely important	Rating Average	Response Count
State of Salmon and Watershed	0.0% (0)	6.1% (2)	6.1% (2)	30.3% (10)	<b>57.6% (19)</b>	4.39	
Habitat Project Progress	3.0% (1)	0.0% (0)	6.1% (2)	42.4% (14)	<b>48.5% (16)</b>	4.33	
Programmatic Progress	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	3.0% (1)	<b>51.5% (17)</b>	45.5% (15)	4.42	
Funding	3.1% (1)	0.0% (0)	9.4% (3)	31.3% (10)	<b>56.3% (18)</b>	4.38	
"Cafe Conversations"	6.1% (2)	15.2% (5)	<b>30.3% (10)</b>	<b>30.3% (10)</b>	18.2% (6)	3.39	
Facilitator	3.0% (1)	15.2% (5)	30.3% (10)	<b>42.4% (14)</b>	9.1% (3)	3.39	
Graphic Recorder	26.5% (9)	<b>41.2% (14)</b>	20.6% (7)	8.8% (3)	2.9% (1)	2.21	
						<b>answered question</b>	
						<b>skipped question</b>	

11. Do you have any other insights or comments you wish to share?	
	<b>Response Count</b>
	10
<b>answered question</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>skipped question</b>	<b>29</b>

**12. Name and contact info (optional):**

	Response Count
	7
answered question	7
skipped question	32

**1. What aspect(s) of the Summit or piece(s) of information did you find most**

	Response Text	
1	Scott's presentation on Chinook and networking with others.	Dec 14, 2010 12:08 AM
2	Progress reports on habitat issues.	Dec 14, 2010 12:12 AM
3	Concise summaries of projects, funding and studies. I appreciated meeting new folks who I hadn't met before, e.g, Salmon Safe.	Dec 14, 2010 12:15 AM
4	Having so many partners together at one meeting to all hear the same information and provide feedback in a conversation setting helped give context and perspective to work being done in the watershed and other people's goals.	Dec 14, 2010 12:15 AM
5	Meeting new folks working in the watershed.	Dec 14, 2010 12:28 AM
6	Cafe conversations and networking	Dec 14, 2010 12:53 AM
7	The value of making major changes at the Ballard Locks because of how many young salmon are impacted by them.	Dec 14, 2010 2:29 AM
8	I really enjoyed the break out sessions at the tables. I also appreciated moving to different tables to meet new people that work in the watershed.	Dec 14, 2010 3:21 PM
9	The presentations in the morning presenting the status - fish numbers, habitat, projects, funding, etc. Very illuminating, but also very suggestive that we have a long way to go.	Dec 14, 2010 3:46 PM
10	Posters and round table discussions	Dec 14, 2010 4:23 PM
11	updates on projects	Dec 14, 2010 5:14 PM
12	The land use change analysis was really informative. A focused session on what lessons to take away from this body of work would be worthwhile. The discussion time was excellent.	Dec 14, 2010 5:20 PM
13	The salmon research information. The opportunity to see the big picture of what WRIA 8 is accomplishing. The opportunity to talk to other people who are working in the watershed.	Dec 14, 2010 5:47 PM
14	The project summary was very good.	Dec 14, 2010 7:02 PM
15	Statistics on conditions and progress, information on funding sources	Dec 14, 2010 7:19 PM
16	Progress reports	Dec 14, 2010 8:37 PM
17	Discussion with colleagues from across the watershed.	Dec 14, 2010 9:52 PM
18	review of plan goals and progress made towards meeting them	Dec 14, 2010 10:00 PM
19	It was great for networking. I set the stage for talking and meeting others doing the same work. I really liked the video. It was one of my favorite pieces. We have an intern that goes to schools and I think it would be great to order that dvd for those classes.	Dec 14, 2010 10:54 PM
20	Breaking out into the small discussion groups was useful. Great way to learn about what other people do.	Dec 15, 2010 1:17 AM

## 1. What aspect(s) of the Summit or piece(s) of information did you find most

Response Text		
21	Overview- I'm new to the group.	Dec 15, 2010 2:13 AM
22	I enjoyed Martha's keynote. I also thought Mary's presentation was good.	Dec 15, 2010 3:19 AM
23	Updates on plan goals were very helpful. I liked it that we switched tables.	Dec 16, 2010 12:22 AM
24	Comments and input from relatively new-to-the-table folks bringing new focus and perspective to W8 deliberations	Dec 18, 2010 1:12 AM
25	Getting a 5-year overview of what has been accomplished was quite useful. There are so many pieces to the WRIA 8 activities that it sometimes hard to see the "big picture" during the bimonthly meetings.	Dec 18, 2010 9:41 PM
26	I enjoyed seeing and interacting with the people. It helped pull many pieces together to have that many stakeholders in one room, although it was extremely government-centric.	Dec 22, 2010 12:36 AM
27	All of the presentations were excellent. I'd say the actual quantified population numbers were most informative. Maps were excellent.	Jan 7, 2011 2:51 PM
28	Breakdown of funding for various organizations	Jan 7, 2011 6:41 PM
29	Networking.	Jan 11, 2011 10:29 PM
30	Stats on fish populations and WRIA 8 targets - helpful to understand goals and priorities.	Jan 11, 2011 11:23 PM
31	A nice overview of past & existing programs	Jan 12, 2011 12:02 AM
32	liked the historical perspective up to the present. It's been 5 years so appreciated the review	Jan 15, 2011 1:44 AM

## 2. What aspect(s) of the Summit did you find LEAST useful? Why?

Response Text		
1	Cafe conversations	Dec 14, 2010 12:08 AM
2	Group discussions at the tables.	Dec 14, 2010 12:12 AM
3	Lost a little momentum at the end. Could have used something to "work up the crowd".	Dec 14, 2010 12:15 AM
4	?	Dec 14, 2010 12:53 AM
5	The Keynote. It was inspirational but did not seem on target for the Summit	Dec 14, 2010 2:29 AM
6	I thought the successes were overplayed. We have accomplished a lot - from working cooperatively to prevent salmon from going extinct to completing some projects, but the population numbers are still nowhere near recovery levels. I would prefer that we had tempered our successes by clearly defining what we had achieved and what we hadn't achieved.	Dec 14, 2010 3:46 PM
7	how were projects coordinated with the early action list and prioritization	Dec 14, 2010 5:14 PM
8	It was all useful. Some of the funding information wasn't all that useful to me (but would be for others, I think).	Dec 14, 2010 5:47 PM
9	The morning did not provide opportunities for discussion - there was too much lecture.	Dec 14, 2010 7:02 PM
10	No opinion	Dec 14, 2010 7:19 PM
11	The presentations were not well organized, and the question and answer period was too brief.	Dec 14, 2010 9:52 PM
12	long inspirational lunch time speech	Dec 14, 2010 10:00 PM

## 2. What aspect(s) of the Summit did you find LEAST useful? Why?

Response Text		
13	The breakout sessions - it was hard to hear people at my table and engage with them, the tables were too big.	Dec 14, 2010 10:54 PM
14	A few more minutes for each discussion topic would have been useful (maybe 30 minutes each?).	Dec 15, 2010 1:17 AM
15	Breakout sessions were too short, unfocused, and involved too few people at each table	Dec 15, 2010 3:19 AM
16	I think there could have been more time for discussion. The discussions involving the whole group, our individual tables, or individuals were most interesting. Some of the presentations could have been shortened, allowing more time for discussion.	Dec 18, 2010 9:41 PM
17	The reviews became bogged down in minutiae and analysis as opposed to briefing everyone on progress and moving toward ideas for the future. It seems like the SRC meetings should serve to keep stakeholders updated, and that those meetings should serve, one or two times a year, as progress report opportunities for the stakeholders.	Dec 22, 2010 12:36 AM
18	All the information was beneficial in my opinion.	Jan 7, 2011 2:51 PM
19	Too much of a "touchy-feely" approach to the summit. Some time wasted on that which could have been used more productively	Jan 12, 2011 12:02 AM

## 3. What aspect(s) of the day could use the most improvement? Why?

Response Text		
1	I didn't see anything to radically improve. It seemed very planned and carried out. Nice team effort.	Dec 14, 2010 12:15 AM
2	Summarization; or a bringing together of the key points at the end	Dec 14, 2010 2:29 AM
3	The last agenda item, brainstorming/discussing ideas for moving forward, seemed rushed and unsatisfying. Some assessment of the ideas, prioritization, ranking, something, would have helped.	Dec 14, 2010 3:46 PM
4	the financial discussion was heavy on pie charts but not focused enough on how much so far, how much more is needed	Dec 14, 2010 5:14 PM
5	see above	Dec 14, 2010 7:02 PM
6	No opinion	Dec 14, 2010 7:19 PM
7	Talking about the next steps and what we do with the information (not a criticism - there wasn't time to do that at the summit)	Dec 14, 2010 8:37 PM
8	The overall structure was poor. There was too much listening and not enough discussion on the major topics: 1-salmon recovery status (presentation was poor) 2-plan implementation (facts and figures, but not enough discussion or promotion of ideas to implement more and beneficial projects) 3-Funding---great presentation, but not a clear "where do we go from here..." element.	Dec 14, 2010 9:52 PM
9	what to do moving forward, identifying specific challenges and strategizing how to overcome them	Dec 14, 2010 10:00 PM
10	maybe we could have briefly shared what we could offer or what we were all doing in the watershed. We made posters but didn't get a chance to share them.	Dec 14, 2010 10:54 PM
11	Needed more room for posters and more open space between tables and posters to mingle.	Dec 15, 2010 3:19 AM



### 3. What aspect(s) of the day could use the most improvement? Why?

Response Text		
12	Great room in many ways - though acoustics weren't perfect.	Dec 18, 2010 1:12 AM
13	I think we need a clearer picture of how far we have come, how far we still have to go, and what it will cost. I know these are not easy questions to answer but we need to do a better job if we are going to ask for increased levels of funding, in my opinion.	Dec 18, 2010 9:41 PM
14	Less reporting and more time identifying what needed to be done to improve or streamline the recovery efforts. Allowing more time for participants to talk and identify barriers and solutions would have been helpful. Most of the people in that room had all kinds of ideas, but lacked the time and structure to hone in on them and communicate them.	Dec 22, 2010 12:36 AM
15	More time for breakout sessions and networking with colleagues. Perhaps an 8:00 am - 5:00 agenda next time?	Jan 7, 2011 2:51 PM
16	Much more focus on the value and need for monitoring and evaluation of restoration projects	Jan 12, 2011 12:02 AM

### 4. What topics or elements were missing from the day?

Response Text		
1	Next Steps	Dec 14, 2010 12:08 AM
2	Would have been nice to have heard from an involved citizen group.	Dec 14, 2010 12:15 AM
3	Having such a large audience with active participation is difficult, but aside from the "cafe conversations", it would have been useful to have a way for participants to contribute success stories or project blips before the meeting to be shared with the group.	Dec 14, 2010 12:15 AM
4	The work of the Graphic Reporter is not available on the website. Summarization at the end of the day (? possibly by the Graphic Reporter?)	Dec 14, 2010 2:29 AM
5	A presentation that estimates how the remaining projects in the recovery plan will result in changes to salmon numbers. What do we expect the population to be after the next five years of plan implementation? What is our definition of success for the next 5 years? The next 10 or 20 years? If it just more of the same - cooperation, lack of extinction, and more projects completed but population numbers haven't improved then I think we should be realistic and declare that definition now.	Dec 14, 2010 3:46 PM
6	perhaps a bit more of a discussion of multi species interactions	Dec 14, 2010 5:14 PM
7	Lessons learned from specific projects were not addressed.	Dec 14, 2010 5:20 PM
8	More dialog about challenges and opportunities for improving partnerships, programs, and projects. There was no discussion of how we can do a better job of learning from each other - project construction, regulatory processes, educational programs, and other implementation strategies. We need to work on building the jurisdictional capacity, not just the WRIA team, for information and knowledge sharing.	Dec 14, 2010 7:02 PM
9	None	Dec 14, 2010 7:19 PM
10	None that I can think of	Dec 14, 2010 8:37 PM
11	The elements were there, but they were hidden in the organization of the meeting (or lack thereof).	Dec 14, 2010 9:52 PM

## 4. What topics or elements were missing from the day?

	Response Text	
12	building a sense of teamwork among the organizations implementing the plan - often times it feels more competitive than cooperative - how can we get us working together more? identifying who is going to do what and where? dividing and conquering instead of fighting for dollars	Dec 14, 2010 10:00 PM
13	I think that sometimes those who are closest may seem to focus too intently on habitat improvement and not at the watershed as a whole. I think that we could have spent some time on how to engage all the citizens. Every activity affects the watershed - car washing, pet waste, picking up trash, natural yard care (changing the idea of what "beautiful yards" are ). I feel that was one of the largest missing elements. How to include the entire community.	Dec 14, 2010 10:54 PM
14	It would be nice to get feedback from NOAA Fisheries ore PS Partnership on how we are doing in Puget Sound in general and in WRIA 8 specifically.	Dec 15, 2010 3:19 AM
15	How do we read the current situation to 'know/understand' its relationship to what would have happened had there been no W8 effort and/or what trmight have occurred if a different approach had been taken? e.g. how do we know we're on the right track? What id public eforts are not the optimal approach as the TRT recommended way back and that the focus on the private sector offers a more reliable outcome?	Dec 18, 2010 1:12 AM
16	We need to find better ways to measure progress in salmon restoration. This is a high tech area and we have tremendous resources. I would like to see this reflected in WRIA 8 presentations.	Dec 18, 2010 9:41 PM
17	The business and nongovernmental stakeholders, as well as the tribes, were not well represented.	Dec 22, 2010 12:36 AM
18	Human behavior change. Negative economic impacts/living economies and cultural degradation as a result of habitat lost.	Jan 7, 2011 2:51 PM
19	Discussion about funding.	Jan 11, 2011 10:29 PM
20	Overall facilitation was very good. It might have been interesting to provie a next step where people could actually commit to actions and opportunities that had emerged from the brainstorm session or where people could poster their ideas and get others to sign up if they wanted to continue the discussion.	Jan 11, 2011 11:23 PM
21	Evaluations of restoration projects	Jan 12, 2011 12:02 AM
22	maybe it would have been good to have had a list from each jurisdiction as to what has been accomplished and greatest stumbling blocks or maybe right on schedule.	Jan 15, 2011 1:44 AM

## 1. Of all the “powerful next steps” we talked about, which one(s) did you find

	Response Text	
1	Working together, reaching out to others, being thoughtful and creative in our work, etc.	Dec 14, 2010 12:15 AM
2	Outreach	Dec 14, 2010 12:54 AM
3	Renovation of the Ballard Locks	Dec 14, 2010 2:32 AM
4	I would like to develop stronger partnerships with the nonprofit groups in the watershed.	Dec 14, 2010 3:24 PM
5	Working to improve fish passage at the locks - in both directions - seems to be a key issue that was highlighted only in our desire for Patty Murry to secure funding. This seems critical. Also, I thought high temperatures in the Sammamish River were a key barrier for Lake Sam fishes, but this issue wasn't mentioned.	Dec 14, 2010 3:46 PM

## 1. Of all the “powerful next steps” we talked about, which one(s) did you find

Response Text		
6	effectiveness monitoring, funding is needed to get the project in the ground	Dec 14, 2010 4:25 PM
7	\$\$\$\$- where are we going to get any	Dec 14, 2010 5:16 PM
8	I think telling the story of the work being done by so many non-profits, jurisdictions, etc. is one that should be shared with people (general public).	Dec 14, 2010 5:50 PM
9	This was a weak portion of the summit. It would have helped to have a little more inspirational introduction to improve the discussions.	Dec 14, 2010 7:05 PM
10	Can't remember one that stood out	Dec 14, 2010 8:39 PM
11	funding	Dec 14, 2010 9:53 PM
12	had to leave early, missed that part	Dec 14, 2010 10:02 PM
13	building and expanding upon partnerships	Dec 15, 2010 2:14 AM
14	No opinion (I missed the last part of the meeting). We should bring up the subject of other species (e.g., kokanee, coho, steelhead, and lamprey) besides chinook.	Dec 15, 2010 3:22 AM
15	Supporting the legislation that would fund salmon recovery seems like the most important thing to do right now.	Dec 18, 2010 9:46 PM
16	Generate higher level non-government expertise and resource partnerships.	Dec 22, 2010 12:39 AM
17	A shared data base of participants and follow up opportunities for gathering.	Jan 7, 2011 2:53 PM
18	Finding a viable consistent funding source	Jan 11, 2011 10:31 PM
19	Oops - it's been a while! I should have filled this out right away. My personal/programmatic goal is to engage business leadership in salmon recovery and impacgt management. So any and all steps that help facilitate this are exciting for me.	Jan 11, 2011 11:29 PM

## 2. Are you planning to take specific actions in response to the Summit? If so,

Response Text		
1	No, continue with what we are doing based on funding	Dec 14, 2010 12:09 AM
2	Attend more WRIA meetings :) Hook up with folks I met there.	Dec 14, 2010 12:15 AM
3	Determine what steps I can take to support the renovation of the Ballard Locks	Dec 14, 2010 2:32 AM
4	I would like to develop stronger partnerships with the nonprofit groups in the watershed.	Dec 14, 2010 3:24 PM
5	I am following up with two individuals I met who had questions about our monitoring programs.	Dec 14, 2010 3:46 PM
6	keep on, keepin on	Dec 14, 2010 5:16 PM
7	I would like to use some of the research with our volunteers, and find a way to tell the WRIA 8 salmon recovery story in a public place.	Dec 14, 2010 5:50 PM
8	Stay engaged!	Dec 14, 2010 8:39 PM
9	no, but i did find it helpful/inspirational to see where our individual efforts fit in to the bigger picture	Dec 14, 2010 10:02 PM
10	Find out more about nearshore projects identified. Follow up with several new people I met.	Dec 15, 2010 2:14 AM
11	Outreach - how do we convey findings to people and organizations that weren't in attendance? Did we put out a press release?	Dec 15, 2010 3:22 AM

## 2. Are you planning to take specific actions in response to the Summit? If so,

Response Text		
12	I wrote a report on the Summit for my Town newsletter and Town Council meeting. I presented this report at last week's Council meeting. Being a town with a high proportion of waterfront citizens the shoreline issues are constantly before us. Our town is currently considering upgrades of our stormwater system.	Dec 18, 2010 9:46 PM
13	No specific actions planned for now, but will probably seek more strategic partnerships over the next year with several stakeholders from the summit.	Dec 22, 2010 12:39 AM
14	I'd like to be more proactive in collaborating with other participants.	Jan 7, 2011 2:53 PM
15	We are looking for opportunities to link our work with the business community to other outreach activities and organizations as it makes sense to do so - particularly Puget Sound Partnership. We are looking to engage municipalities in our outreach and are also applying for new grants.	Jan 11, 2011 11:29 PM
16	Connect with Salmon Safe; try to see if LEED certification can have better tie to water quality (mostly energy focussed); keep on what I'm doing --working in private sector but related to WQ	Jan 15, 2011 1:47 AM

## 1. Do you have any other insights or comments you wish to share?

Response Text		
1	Nicely done!	Dec 14, 2010 12:25 AM
2	I am greatly impressed by this workshop. These are very hard events to host, and the team did an amazing job. Congratulations and keep up the good work.	Dec 14, 2010 3:48 PM
3	Well done. Professionalism at events like this builds confidence in the process.	Dec 14, 2010 8:40 PM
4	Great job Jean and crew! It was definitely a well organized, well thought out event and I am glad I attended.	Dec 14, 2010 10:04 PM
5	With 100 people the food should have had serving utensils besides hands also two lines would have been nice. I think that there wasn't enough for everyone.	Dec 14, 2010 11:03 PM
6	See above	Dec 15, 2010 3:23 AM
7	I would like to see an estimate of the total cost and time it will take to achieve our goal of salmon restoration. Also, it would be helpful to mention other areas with pollution and salmon restoration problems like ours. Some of these areas have been working on the problem for longer than we have and have made substantial progress. For example, the Lake of Zurich in Switzerland.	Dec 18, 2010 9:53 PM
8	Nice job! I'd be happy to help with the event in the future. I typically work in planning and low impact development and am involved with a number of certification organizations. I currently have contracts with Salmon Safe and their alliance partners. If any of that work was considered beneficial to this groups I'd be glad to organize a presentation.	Jan 7, 2011 2:58 PM
9	I left wondering and wanting a take home message. I think more elected official might make it if it were 1/2 instead of a full day--it is a lot ask.	Jan 11, 2011 10:34 PM
10	Thank you - it was a very good day. It was important to see the broad swath of people and organizations working on salmon and to become better informed about the state of the watershed and priorities. I enjoyed meeting people and being part of the discussion. (Sorry to be somewhat negative on the graphic recorder - it's great work and lively, but how does it continue to inform the process?)	Jan 12, 2011 12:05 AM